

2008 april 24

New Orleans SPP Summit: Fraser Institute dupes the public by rebranding SPP as North American Standard

PEJ news

-

Joan Russow

- Global Compliance Research

www.PEJ.org

FRASER INSTITUTE MISREPRESENTS THE SPP THROUGH ITS PROPOSAL FOR “NORTH AMERICAN STANDARD”

In the SPP, there are calls for “harmonizing standards’ generally to descend to the lowest common denominator.

The Fraser Institute through its North American Standards and Regulatory (NASRA) branding is causing confusion.

In rebranding the SPP, the Fraser Institute is not opposing the SPP but is countering opposition to the SPP.

(i) “testing once” Under the SPP there is a call to eliminate redundancy by testing once so that if a product is tested once, it can be sold in all countries.

(ii) Reliance on private sector testing

Currently under US law, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is required to annually justify its testing requirements.

FRASER INSTITUTE’S CHARITABLE STATUS SHOULD BE REVOKED FOR MISCONSTRUING THE SPP

The Fraser Institute, in its paper Saving the North American Security and Prosperity Partnership: the Case for a New Standard, has argued that the SPP is a “win-win” for all countries.

In its support for the SPP, and for the rebranding of the SPP Agreement as NASRA, the Fraser Institute has argued that the SPP is a “win-win” for all countries.

The Fraser Institute has Charitable Status, and claims that it is a Community Organizations, and a not-for-profit organization.

The Institute lists its activities as “Research (scientific, medical, environmental, etc.) and its on-going work in the areas of environmental protection, health care, and education.”

Under Revenue Canada there is the following limitation:

“Activities must relate directly to the registered charities’ purposes. Registered charities are not permitted to engage in commercial activities.”

The Government uses an interesting example to illustrate this point. It could be said that the Fraser Institute is a registered charity.

Posted by admin

Monday, 21 April 2008 02:10 - Last Updated Friday, 01 March 2019 21:32

For example, in 1998, the Fraser Institute held a conference on Climate Change and invited profes

C7 – Political activities:

Check “Yes” if the registered charity carried out political activities. We presume an activity to be pol

(a) explicitly communicates a call to political action (i.e., encourages the public to contact an electe

(b) explicitly communicates to the public that the law, policy, or decision of any level of government
being reconsidered by a government), opposed, or changed; or

(c) explicitly states in its materials (whether internal or external) that the intention of the activity is to

Today, April 21, 2008. I filed a complaint against the Fraser Institute; the complaint called for the re