Posted by

Sunday, 14 August 2005 23:54 - Last Updated Sunday, 14 August 2005 23:54

<u>Iraq Forced to Buy GM Foods; There Must Bell A Global Ban on GE Foods</u>

PEJ News - It is reported to-day that Iraq is being forced to accept Genetically engineered foods and crops. In the North in countries that produce genetically-engineered foods and crops civil society has an obligation to the rest of the world to call for the banning of GE foods and crops.

I have sent a version of this declaration out since 1998, and distributed it at international conferences. Unfortunately the Global civil society Campaign was treating the issue of GE food as an issue of the right to know and was calling for only labeling, and as a result when there is opposition in the North to GE foods, they are dumped on the south.

Treating the issue of GE foods and crops as being an issue of the right to know has ignored the health, environmental and equity issues. There must be a global ban.

Joan Russow Global Compliance Research Project

Canada- a major producer of GE food and crops

Alternative Biosafety Protocol To Prevent Harm To Human Health, Biodiversity and the Environment

THE PARTIES TO THIS PROTOCOL

Recalling That at the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (1992), the member states of the United Nations made a commitment to prevent the transfer to other states of substances or activities that are harmful to human health or the environment (principle 14, Rio Declaration);

Recognizing the serious issues raised by genetic engineering in terms of health and safety, the environment, ethical considerations and social justice;

Considering the worldwide support for:

- i. a global ban on genetically engineered processes, foods, crops and animals
- ii. a global ban on the patenting of life forms as being contrary to the "ordre public" (public interest)
- iii. criminalizing biopiracy and theft of the genetic material and knowledge of farmers, peasants, and indigenous peoples;

Noting That the precautionary principle affirms that, where there is a threat to human health or to the environment, the lack of full scientific certainty shall not be used as a reason for postponing measures to prevent the threat. The precautionary principle has long been a tenet of international customary law and, as such, is required to be integrated into state law.

Posted by

Sunday, 14 August 2005 23:54 - Last Updated Sunday, 14 August 2005 23:54

This principle is present in documents in differing forms such as the Rio Declaration:

Where there are threats of serious or irreversible damage, lack of full scientific certainty shall not be used as a reason for postponing cost-effective measures to prevent environmental degradation." (Rio Declaration, 1992).

and the Convention on Biological Diversity:

Where there is a threat of significant reduction or loss of biological diversity, lack of full scientific certainty should not be used as a reason for postponing measures to avoid or minimize such a threat (Preamble, Convention on Biological Diversity, UNCED, 1992);

Aware Of the evidence of hazards arising from genetically engineering foods and crops reported in the World Scientists' Statement presented at Cartegena, Columbia, February 1999:

- * Herbicide resistant transgenes have spread to wild relatives by cross-pollination in both oilseed rape and sugar beet (Brookes, 1999) creating many species of potential superweeds.
- * Herbicide-resistant transgenic plants may lead to increased use of herbicides, contrary to what is being claimed. The transgenic plants themselves are already turning up as volunteer plants after the harvest and have to be controlled by additional sprays of other herbicides.
- * Bt-toxins engineered into a wide range of transgenic plants already released into the environment may build up in the soil and have devastating impacts on pollinators and other beneficial insects (Crecchi, C 1998).
- * Genetic engineering of organisms is hit-or-miss and not at all precise, contrary to misleading accounts intended for the public, as it depends on the random insertion of the artificial vector carrying the foreign genes into the genome. This random insertion is well-known to have many unexpected and unintended effects, including cancer, in the case of mammalian cells (Walden R, 1991).
- * Serious doubts over the safety of transgenic foods are raised by new revelations on the results of animal feeding experiments. Potatoes engineered with snowdrop lectin fed to rats caused highly significant reduction in both dry and wet weights of many essential organs: small intestine, liver, spleen, thymus, pancreas and brain. In addition, it resulted in impairment of immunological responsiveness and signs suggestive of viral infection (Leake, C, 1999).
- * Hazards may come from new genes and gene products. New genes and gene products are introduced into food, often from bacteria and viruses and other non-food species that have never been eaten before and certainly not in the quantities produced in the genetically engineered crops, where they are typically expressed at high levels. The long term impacts of these genes and gene products on human health will be impossible to predict
- * Genetically engineered agriculture not only obstructs the implementation of real solutions to the problems of food security for all, but also poses unprecedented risks to health and

Posted by

Sunday, 14 August 2005 23:54 - Last Updated Sunday, 14 August 2005 23:54

biodiversity. Far from feeding the world, it will intensify corporate control on food production and distribution which created poverty and hunger in the first place. It will also reinforce existing social structures and intensive agricultural practices that have led to widespread environmental destruction and falling yields since the 1980s (Brown, L R,. (1998)

{Excerpts from the World Scientists' Statement}

Recognizing That the global community has made a commitment to the international principle of customary law -- the precautionary principle. This principle states that where there is a threat to human health or the environment, the lack of full scientific certainty shall not be used as a reason to postpone measures to prevent the threat. There is sufficient scientific evidence of the harmful health and environmental consequences of GE foods and crops to justify the banning of GE foods and crops, the end to export of GE foods and crops and the immediate removal of GE foods from grocery shelves in accordance with the precautionary principle;

Recalling That an exception to the patenting of inventions arises when the invention is contrary to "ordre public" or morality; this explicitly includes inventions dangerous to human, animal or plant life or health or seriously prejudicial to the environment and applies where the commercial exploitation of the invention must also be prevented and this prevention is necessary for the protection of order public or morality;

Concurring With The World Scientists Statement That, "Genetic engineering is a new departure from conventional breeding and introduces significant differences. Conventional breeding involves crossing related species, and plants with the desired characteristics selected from among the progeny for reproducing, and the selection is repeated over many generations. Genetic engineering bypasses reproduction altogether. Ittransfers genes horizontally from one individual to another (as opposed to vertically from parent to offspring), often making use of infectious agents as vectors or carriers of genes so that genes can be transferred between distant species that would never interbreed in nature. For example human genes are transferred into pig, sheep, fish and bacteria. Toad genes are transferred into tomatoes. Completely new exotic genes are being introduces into food crops." (World Scientists Statement, 1999);

Noting That The current practices of genetic engineering are creating unpredictable and irreversible combinations of transgenic organisms with one another and with natural varieties and, as such, are defeating the purpose of the Convention on Biological Diversity;

Noting That under the Vienna Law of Treaties, the signatories to the Convention must not create a situation that would make it impossible for them to discharge their obligations under the treaty and that the creation of unpredictable and irreversible combinations of transgenic organisms with one another with natural varieties would defeat the purpose of the Convention to "conserve biodiversity";

Recognizing That genetic engineering in the area of medical research raises serious questions of ethics and social justice;

Recalling That Under the UN Convention on Women, Equality, Development and Peace (1995)

Posted by

Sunday, 14 August 2005 23:54 - Last Updated Sunday, 14 August 2005 23:54

and Habitat II (1996), the member states of the United Nations made a commitment to ensure that corporations (including transnational corporations comply with international law, including international environmental law;

Mindful That member states of the United Nations have failed to sign and ratify the Convention on Biological Diversity along with other relevant treaties, covenants and conventions, and that under the Vienna Law of Treaty states are bound not to do anything in the interim between the signing and the coming into force of the treaty to defeat the purpose of the convention;

Have Agreed To The Following:

- * to invoke the precautionary principle and institute an immediate ban on all genetically engineered processes, foods, crops and animals;
- to embark upon the immediate removal of GE foods from grocery shelves;
- * to invoke the "ordre public" principle and ban the patenting of living organisms and their parts;
- * to criminalize biopiracy and theft of genetic material and knowledge of farmers, peasants, and indigenous peoples;
- * to place a moratorium on genetically engineered medical research into uses of genetic engineering until ethical standards can be put in place;
- * to urge the full ratification of the Convention on Biological Diversity and the enactment of domestic legislation to ensure compliance.

domestic legislation to ensure compliance.
SIGN-0N:
Name
Address
Country
e-mail
Please Send Copy To: jrussow@shawlink.com
Joan Russow PhD

Posted by

Sunday, 14 August 2005 23:54 - Last Updated Sunday, 14 August 2005 23:54

Global Compliance Research Project

1 250 598-0071